

Dental Practice-Based Research Network

www.DentalPBRN.org

DPBRN Study 13: Longitudinal study of questionable occlusal caries lesions

Results: Overall and by region - Tables

Date Prepared: April 27, 2012

Participants: Baseline (DPBRN 12 Former study 6)

	AL,	AL/MS		FL/GA		MN		PDA		SK		TAL
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Practitioners	10	12.2	20	24.4	13	15.9	15	18.3	24	29.3	82	100.0
Patients	295	16.7	475	26.9	296	16.8	106	6.0	593	33.6	1,765	100.0
Lesions	425	15.9	693	26.0	438	16.4	169	6.3	946	35.4	2,671	100.0

These %s are row %s

Participants: Change of treatment

	AL/	'MS	FL/	GA	N	1N	Р	DA	S	K	TO	TAL
	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Practitioners	5		10		8		2		18		43	
Patients	19	6.4	17	3.6	13	4.4	2	1.9	40	6.7	91	5.2
Lesions	20	4.7	19	2.7	17	3.9	2	1.2	49	5.2	107	4.0

These %s are of baseline - of top table

Participants: 24-month follow-up (study 13)

Practitioners	10		16		11		9		24		70	
Patients	212	71.9	193	40.6	113	38.2	22	20.8	486	82.0	1,026	58.1
Lesions	306	72.0	267	38.5	157	35.8	34	20.1	771	81.5	1,535	57.5

These %s are of baseline - of top table

Participants: ANY follow-up (change of treatment or 24-month follow-up)

Practitioners	10		18		12		10		24		74	
Patients	213	72.2	207	43.6	123	41.6	23	21.7	491	82.8	1,057	59.9
Lesions	307	72.2	285	41.1	170	38.8	35	20.7	779	82.3	1,576	59.0

These %s are of baseline - of top table

This report is of a follow-up study of 2,671 questionable occlusal caries (lesions) among 1,765 patients treated by 82 dentists.

Included in this report are data on 107 lesions, among 91 patients treated by 43 dentists for whom there was a change of treatment between 11/18/2008 and 12/13/2011, and on 1,535 lesions among 1,026 patients treated by 74 dentists between 5/12/09 and 1/24/12.

**Note: one lesion had 2 change of treatment forms.

Results from Change of Treatment Forms

	•	ner who is atment date	_		today ti	io damo	0110 WI	io ornon	od tilo q	jacotionas	100101	10 011
a.	No											
Table (Q1: Sam	e practitio	ner by	DPBRN re	aion							
		/MS		L/GA		ΛN	ı	PDA		SK	TC	TAL
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
-		er who is fill tment date?	_	this form to	day the s	ame one	who en	rolled th	e questi	onable lesi	ons on	
Yes	21	100.0	19	100.0	15	88.2	1	50.0	35	71.4	91	84.3
No	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	11.8	1	50.0	14	28.6	17	15.7
**One lesi	ion had 2 d	change of trea	atment for	ms								
a. 🗌 b. 🗌	Yes No	e to a prob		·			DDN ,	ragion				
1 abie (/MS	FL/	•	MN	ui by br	PDA		S	 SK	TO	TAL
	N ,	%	N ,		N %	N		%	N	%	N	%
			with th	is specific to								
Is this v	isit due to	o a problem	ı witii tii	is specific to	ootn?							
Is this v Yes	isit due to 11	o a problem 52.4	14	73.7	3 37	7.5 0		0.0	5	10.2	33	33.3
		•		•	3 37	7.5 0 2.5 2		0.0 100.0	5 44	10.2 89.8	33 66	33.3 66.7
Yes No • (11 10 Overall, 3	52.4 47.6 33% of pati	14 5 ients we	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		
Yes No • (11 10 Overall, 3 t was the Monitor	52.4 47.6 33% of pati	14 5 ients we	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		
Yes No • (3. What a. b. b.	11 10 Overall, 3 t was the Monitor Oral hyg	52.4 47.6 33% of pati	14 5 ents we	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		
Yes No . (3. What a	11 10 Overall, 3 t was the Monitor Oral hyg	52.4 47.6 33% of pati	14 5 ents we	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		
Yes No 3. What a b c d	11 10 Overall, 3 t was the Monitor Oral hyg Applying Applying	52.4 47.6 33% of pati	14 5 fents we eatment	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		
Yes No 3. What a	11 10 Dverall, 3 t was the Monitor Oral hyg Applying Sealant Enamelo	52.4 47.6 33% of pati e original tre liene instru g/prescribin g varnish placement oplasty	14 5 fents we eatment	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		
Yes No . () 3. What a	11 10 Dverall, 3 t was the Monitor Oral hyg Applying Sealant Enamelo	52.4 47.6 33% of pati e original tre givene instru gyprescribin g varnish placement pplasty ve Resin R	14 5 fents we eatment	73.7 26.3 re being tre	3 37 5 62 eated du	2.5 2 e to a pro	oblem	100.0 with the	44 specific	89.8		

Table Q3: Original treatment plan by DPBRN region

	AL	./MS	FL	./GA	N	ΜN		PDA	!	SK	TC	OTAL
	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
What was the original trea	tment pla	n of the	tooth?	(please	check a	all that a	apply)					
Monitor	15	71.4	15	78.9	14	82.4	1	50.0	37	75.5	82	75.9
Oral Hyg Instr	7	33.3	4	21.1	2	11.8	1	50.0	33	67.3	47	43.5
Apply fluoride	4	19.0	2	10.5	1	5.9	0	0.0	16	32.7	23	21.3
Apply varnish	2	9.5	0	0.0	1	5.9	0	0.0	1	2.0	4	3.7
Sealant placement	1	4.8	2	10.5	2	11.8	2	100.0	5	10.2	12	11.1
Enameloplasty	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	11.8	1	50.0	0	0.0	3	2.8
Preventive Resin	2	9.5	0	0.0	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	2.8
Full restoration	3	14.3	3	15.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	7	6.5

- Overall, the most common original plan of treatment for the tooth was monitoring at about 76%, followed by oral hygienist instruction at approximately 44%.
- 4. Which **one** best describes the luster of the questionable area today?
 - a.

 Chalky appearance
 - b. Shiny appearance
- 5. Which **one** best describes the color of the questionable area today?
 - a.

 Opaque
 - b. White spot
 - c. | Yellow/light brown discoloration
 - d. Dark brown/black discoloration
 - e. Other

Table Q4-Q5: Description of questionable area by DPBRN region

	AL,	/MS	FL/	'GA	M	1N	Р	DA	S	SK .	TO	TAL
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%
Which one describes th	ne luste	er of the	question	able are	ea today?)						
Chalky	7	43.8	5	45.5	7	43.8	0	0.0	25	73.5	44	57.1
Shiny	9	56.3	6	54.5	9	56.3	0	0.0	9	26.5	33	42.9
Which one best describ	es the	color of	the ques	stionabl	e area to	day?						
Opaque	3	18.8	1	7.7	3	18.8	1	100.0	1	3.0	9	11.4
White spot	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.3	0	0.0	3	9.1	4	5.1
Yellow/light brown	8	50.0	8	61.5	9	56.3	0	0.0	18	54.5	43	54.4
Dark brown/black	5	31.3	4	30.8	3	18.8	0	0.0	11	33.3	23	29.1
Other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

- Overall, 57% of lesions were described as having a chalky luster.
- Overall, 54% of lesions were of a yellow/light brown and 29% were dark brown/black color; fewer were lighter in color.

6. When you used a denta exploration? a. ☐ Yes b. ☐ No	al exp	lorer, d	did you e	xperie	nce roug	hness (of the ei	namel su	urface up	on ligh	t	
7. When you used a dental a. Yes-Slight stick b. Yes-Resistance to c. No	rem	oval	·					lorer in a	a groove	or fiss	ıre?	
Table Q6-Q7: Experien		sing a 'MS		piorer 'GA		IN reg		DA	SI	K	TO:	TAL
	N N	%	N	%	N	.	N	%	N	%	N	%
When you used a dental e												
Yes	8	57.1	2	50.0	7	43.8	1	100.0	26	83.9	44	66.7
No	6	42.9	2	50.0	9	56.3	0	0.0	5	16.1	22	33.3
When you used a dental e	xplore		ou exper						ve or fissu			
Yes-Slight stick	4	28.6	1	25.0	2	12.5	0	0.0	7	22.6	14	21.2
Yes-Resist. removal	8	57.1	1	25.0	7	43.8	0	0.0	12	38.7	28	42.4
No	2	14.3	2	50.0	7	43.8	1	100.0	12	38.7	24	36.4
 Most practitioners with MN being slig Overall, the major reporting a slight s 	intly lo	ower at practition	t 44% of oners ex	the tim	ne. ced retei	ntion wh	nen usir				•	
8. What is the treatment a. Monitoring b. Oral hygiene instr c. Applying/prescribi d. Applying varnish e. Sealant placemer f. Repair/replaceme g. Repair/replaceme h. Enameloplasty (re i. Preventive Resin j. Full Restoration k. Other	ng flu nt (etcl nt of ent of	n oride n tooth sealant restora	with no p t tion rficial gro	reparati oves an	ion, with s	sealant r efects w	material/	composit	ride/resin	materia	al)	t)

Table Q8: Treatment plan for today by DPBRN region

	AL,	/MS	FL,	/GA	N	ΛN	Р	DA	9	SK	ТО	TAL
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
What is the treatment plan	n for th	e tooth	today?	(please	check a	ll that a	pply)					
Monitoring	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	23.5	0	0.0	2	4.3	6	5.7
Oral Hyg Instr	0	0.0	1	5.3	1	5.9	0	0.0	5	10.6	7	6.7
Apply fluoride	0	0.0	2	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	8.5	6	5.7
Apply varnish	2	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.9
Sealant placement	2	10.0	0	0.0	4	23.5	1	50.0	13	27.7	20	19.0
Repair/replace sealant	0	0.0	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	50.0	4	8.5	6	5.7
Repair/replace rest	2	10.0	0	0.0	1	5.9	0	0.0	1	2.1	4	3.8
Enameloplasty	1	5.0	2	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	2.9
Preventive Resin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Full restoration	11	55.0	16	84.2	8	47.1	0	0.0	24	51.1	59	56.2
Other	3	15.0	1	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.3	6	5.7

• The most common plan of treatment preferred was full restoration at 56%.

9. If \	ou chose	to restore	this tooth	todav.	what did	vou find?
---------	----------	------------	------------	--------	----------	-----------

- a.
 No caries
- b. Inactive/ re-mineralized caries
- c. Active caries (Outer ½ of Enamel)
- d. Active caries (Inner ½ of Enamel)
- e. ☐ Active caries (Outer ⅓ of Dentin)
- f. Active caries (Middle 1/3 of Dentin)
- g. ☐ Active caries (Inner ⅓ of Dentin)

Table Q9: Findings during restoration by DPBRN region

	AL	/MS	FL	/GA	N	1N	PC	PΑ	S	K	TO	ΓAL
	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%
If you chose to restore this to	oth toda	ay, what	did yo	u find?								
No caries	3	16.7	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	7.3
Inactive caries	0	0.0	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.6
Active caries:												
Outer 1/2 of Enamel	4	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	17.6	7	12.7
Inner 1/2 of Enamel	2	11.1	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9	4	7.3
Outer 1/3 of Dentin	8	44.4	2	18.2	6	66.7	0	0.0	10	58.8	26	47.3
Middle 1/3 of Dentin	1	5.6	4	36.4	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	5.9	8	14.5
Inner 1/3 of Dentin	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	11.1	0	0.0	2	11.8	4	7.3

• Most practitioners found an active caries with the majority (47% overall) being located in the outer 1/3 of the dentin.

10. If you	u chose to restore this tooth, what type of material did you use?
	Amalgam
b. 🗌 (Composite
с. 🗌 (Glass ionomer
4 🗆 (Othor

Table Q10: Restoration material used by DPBRN region

	AL/	'MS	FL/GA		M	1N	SK		TOTAL	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
If you chose to resto	re this to	oth, wha	t type o	of materi	al did y	ou use?				
Amalgam	3	30.0	3	23.1	5	62.5	0	0.0	11	21.6
Composite	7	70.0	10	76.9	3	37.5	20	100.0	40	78.4
Glass ionomer	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

- The majority of practitioners used composite as the restoration material at 78%, varying across regions with SK reporting highest at 100%.
- None used glass ionomer.

Results from 24-month Follow-up Forms

1.	. Is the practitioner who is filling out this form today the same one who enrolled the questionable le	esions on
	the original treatment date?	

a. Yes

No

Table Q1: Same practitioner by DPBRN region

	AL/I	ИS	FL/GA		MN		PDA		SK		TOTAL	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Is the prac	titioner wl	no is fillin	g out thi	s form to	day the	same on	e who e	enrolled t	he quest	ionable l	esions on)
the origina	l treatmer	nt date?										
Yes	303	99.3	252	95.1	86	68.8	26	78.8	635	82.6	1302	87.0
No	2	0.7	13	4.9	39	31.2	7	21.2	134	17.4	195	13.0

Overall, 87% of practitioners were the same ones who enrolled the lesions originally; higher in AL/MS and FL/GA (95-99%) and lower in MN (69%) and PDA (79%).

2. Is this visit due to a problem with this specific tooth?

- a. LYes
- b. No

Table Q2: Visit due to a problem with specific tooth by DPBRN region

	AL/I	MS	FL/GA		MN		PDA		SK		TOTAL	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%
Is this visit	due to a	problem	with this	specific	tooth?							
Yes	5	1.6	2	0.8	1	0.8	0	0.0	5	0.6	13	0.9
No	299	98.4	263	99.2	124	99.2	33	100.0	765	99.4	1484	99.1

- Only about 1% of patients were being treated due to a problem with the specific tooth.
- 3. Currently, this lesion is:
 - a. \square Being monitored with oral hygiene instruction and/or fluoride treatment
 - b. Sealed
 - c. Restored

Table Q3: Possible treatments by DPBRN region

	AL/	MS	FL/GA		М	MN		PDA		SK		AL
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Currently, the lesion is:												
Being monitored	157	52.5	191	72.1	107	85.6	1	3.1	598	77.8	1054	70.7
Sealed	48	16.1	38	14.3	13	10.4	21	65.6	151	19.6	271	18.2
Restored	94	31.4	36	13.6	5	4.0	10	31.3	20	2.6	165	11.1

- The majority of lesions were currently being monitored at approximately 71% overall with PDA being much lower at 3%.
- Overall, 18% of lesions were being sealed, much higher with PDA at approximately 66%.

 4. Which one best descri a. ☐ Chalky appearant b. ☐ Shiny appearant 	ice	uster of	the qu	estionat	ole area	a today?	?			
5. Which one best descra. Opaque b. White spot c. Yellow/light brownd. Dark brown/black e. Other	n discolor discolor	ration ation	·			ŕ				
Questions 4-7, A PDA column omitted I			_							
				-	-		•	, morauce	in total	
Table Q4-Q5: Descripti	AL/		FL/		M		SI	K	TO	 ГАL
	N N	%	N =,	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Which one describes the I	uster of t	he quest	tionable	area to	day?					
Chalky	25	21.7	34	18.9	23	21.5	318	53.4	401	40.1
Shiny	90	78.3	146	81.1	84	78.5	278	46.6	598	59.9
Which one best describes	the color	of the q	uestion	able are	a today	/?				
Opaque	14	12.2	13	7.3	9	8.4	50	8.4	86	8.6
White spot	5	4.4	13	7.3	5	4.7	41	6.9	64	6.4
Yellow/light brown	53	46.1	91	50.8	56	52.3	285	48.0	486	48.8
Dark brown/black	43	37.4	56	31.3	34	31.8	216	36.4	349	35.0
Other	0	0.0	6	3.4	3	2.8	2	0.3	11	1.1
 Overall, about 60° lesions were of a color. 										
6. When you used a dent exploration? a. ☐ Yes b. ☐ No	al explor	er, did y	ou exp	erience	roughi	ness of	the ena	amel su	rface u	pon light
7. When you used a dent a. Yes-Slight stick b. Yes-Resistance t c. No	•	•	ou exp	erience	retenti	on of th	e explo	orer in a	groove	e or fissure?

Table Q6-Q7: Experiences using dental explorer by DPBRN region

	AL/I	AL/MS		GA	М	N	SI	<	TOT	AL	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
When you used a dental explorer, did you experience roughness of the enamel surface upon light											
exploration?											
Yes	41	40.2	48	33.1	39	38.6	140	32.9	269	34.8	
No	61	59.8	97	66.9	62	61.4	285	67.1	505	65.3	
When you used a dental expl	orer, did y	ou expe	erience r	etentio	n of the	explore	r in a gro	ove or f	issure?		
Yes-Slight stick	22	21.6	17	12.1	15	19.7	27	6.4	81	10.9	
Yes-Resist. removal	5	4.9	3	2.1	0	0.0	4	1.0	12	1.6	
No	75	73.5	121	85.8	61	80.3	392	92.7	743	87.5	

• When using the dental explorer, practitioners experienced roughness on about 35% of lesions, slight retention on less than 11% and resistance on less than 2%.

Question 8 refers to lesions being sealed (and some monitored).

- 8. Sealant rating: rate the sealant as "Acceptable" or "Repair or Replace"
 - a. Acceptable-No further clinical action is needed, please indicate if you adjusted the sealant

Did you grind or adjust to improve the sealant today?

A ☐ Yes B ☐ No

b. Repair or replace-Clinical action is needed

Table Q8: Condition of the sealant by DPBRN region

	AL,	/MS	FL	/GA	N	ΛN	Р	DA	S	K	TO	ΓAL
	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Sealant rating: rate the sea	lant as	"Accep	table"	or "Repa	air or R	eplace"						_
Acceptable: Yes	43	93.5	35	100.0	10	83.3	20	100.0	135	91.2	243	93.1
Did you grind or adjus	t to imp	rove th	e seala	ant today	/? (No	further c	linical	action is	needed	I).		
Yes	2	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	2.2	5	2.2
No	40	95.2	25	100.0	9	100.0	20	100.0	132	97.8	226	97.8
No: Repair or Replace	3	6.5	0	0.0	2	16.7	0	0.0	13	8.8	18	6.9

• The sealant was rated as acceptable for 93% of lesions, of which for 2% there was a need for grinding or adjusting of the sealant for improvement.

9. What is your treatment plan for this sealant? (check all that apply)

(Not reported because of small numbers [n=18]; percents difficult to interpret as check all that apply yielded sums greater than 100%)

Question 10 refers to lesions being restored.

10. Restoration: rate the restoration as "Acceptable" or "Repair or Replace"	
a. \square Acceptable-No further clinical action is needed, please indicate if you adjusted the	e restoration
Did you grind or adjust to improve the restoration today?	
A ☐ Yes B ☐ No	
b. Repair or replace-Clinical action is needed	

Table Q10: Condition of the restoration by DPBRN region

	AL	/MS	FL/	′GA	ı	MN	Р	DA	9	SK	TOT	ΓAL
_	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Restoration: rate the resto	ration a	as "Acce	ptable	" or "Re	pair o	r Replace	<u>e</u> "					
Acceptable: Yes	87	98.9	24	85.7	4	100.0	10	100.0	17	100.0	142	96.6
Did you grind or adjust	t to imp	rove the	e resto	ration to	oday?	(No furtl	her clir	nical actio	n is ne	eded).		
Yes	1	1.2	2	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9	4	2.9
No	86	98.9	20	90.9	3	100.0	10	100.0	16	94.1	135	97.1
No: Repair or Replace	1	1.1	4	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	3.4

• Overall, about 97% of restorations were acceptable, and of these, less than 3% needed any grinding or adjusting to improve the restoration.

11. What is your treatment plan for this restoration? (check all that apply)

(Not reported because of small numbers [n=5]; percents difficult to interpret as check all that apply yielded sums greater than 100%)